COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1591-01 <u>Bill No.</u>: HB 760

Subject: Gambling; Consumer Protection; Boards, Commissions, Committees, Councils

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 13, 2013

Bill Summary: This proposal prohibits publishing of the names of lottery winners.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Conoral Poyonyo				
General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 4 pages.

L.R. No. 1591-01 Bill No. HB 760 Page 2 of 4 March 13, 2013

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Total Estimated Net Effect on All	00	00	00	
Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015 FY 20			
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- ☐ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2014	FY 2015	FY 2016	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

§ 313.303 - Lottery Winners:

Officials from the **Missouri Lottery Commission (LOT)** state this proposal prohibits publishing of winner names. Not being able to publish winner names may adversely affect public perception of the lottery and ultimately affect sales

LOT assumes an unknown negative impact on lottery sales, which in turn would have a negative fiscal impact on proceeds for education.

LOT notes only 4 states allow a blanket anonymity of lottery winner names.

Oversight notes of the 44 states participating in Powerball and 33 states in Mega-Millions, the states of Delaware, Kansas, Maryland, North Dakota, and Ohio allow blanket anonymity of lottery winners.

Oversight assumes this proposal would not have a direct administrative impact on the Lottery Commission.

Oversight assumes any effect on sales (positive or negative) would be an indirect consequence of this proposal and beyond the scope of a fiscal note.

Officials at the **Office of Prosecution Services (OPS)** assume no measurable fiscal impact on OPS. OPS assumes the creation of a new crime creates additional responsibilities for county prosecutors. This may in turn result in additional costs which are difficult to determine.

Oversight assumes county prosecutors can absorb any costs related to this proposal.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** and **Office of the Attorney General** each assume the proposal would not fiscally impact their respective agencies.

L.R. No. 1591-01 Bill No. HB 760 Page 4 of 4 March 13, 2013

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2014 (10 Mo.)	FY 2015	FY 2016
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no direct fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Missouri Lottery Commission Office of the Attorney General Office of State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services

> Ross Strope Acting Director March 13, 2013

Con Alego